



National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Pesticide General Permit (PGP)

The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program, created in 1972 by the Clean Water Act (CWA), regulates the discharge pollutants to waters of the United States (WOTUS). Under the CWA, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) authorizes the NPDES permit program to most state governments, enabling them to perform the permitting, administrative and enforcement aspects of the program.

The Federal Insecticide Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) pesticide registration process already requires products to be extensively evaluated for environmental impacts, including to aquatic ecosystems. However, since 2011, EPA has additionally required a separate NPDES permit for pesticides, the Pesticide General Permit (PGP), for applications made into, over or near WOTUS. This is duplicative, unnecessary regulation that burdens pesticide applicators with costly paperwork requirements and risks of frivolous lawsuits.

Federal Policy Background

For decades, FIFRA has regulated (amongst many other things) pesticide application into, over and near water. Products registered under FIFRA require years of extensive testing to demonstrate that they can be safely applied according to their labeling in a manner which does not pose an unreasonable risk to humans or the environment. Specifically, the product registration process considers potential impacts to drinking water in addition to aquatic and endangered species.

In 2009, the enforcement reach of the CWA was expanded into pesticide policy¹, invalidating decades of precedent. A three-judge panel, not Congress, decided that all pesticide applications made into, over or near WOTUS should be subject to NPDES permitting. This resulted in the EPA's 2011 implementation of the NPDES PGP for aquatic pesticide applications for control of mosquitos, aquatic weeds, invasive aquatic animals and forest canopy pest control.

EPA's 2011 PGP was renewed in 2016 and 2021, with requirements remaining largely the same. The 2026 PGP will be issued on or prior to December 17, 2024, with the effective date of October 31, 2026, when the current PGP expires.

Impacts to Aerial Applicators

PGPs impose a gamut of unnecessary performance and recordkeeping requirements on applicators across the country who apply pesticides into, over and near WOTUS. This redundant burden can obstruct the decision-making process around when to apply products that are needed to protect human lives and infrastructure, such as applications to control mosquitoes that vector disease or to fight forest fires. This has been exacerbated by decades of regulatory musical chairs around the definition of WOTUS.

The compliance cost in paperwork alone was estimated by EPA to be \$50M per year, and state and local officials advised EPA that the burden would be far more than that estimate. As an example, Gem County Mosquito Abatement District in Idaho and Benton County Mosquito Control in Washington have each had to spend 20

¹ *National Cotton Council of America, et al. v. EPA, et al.* (6th Cir. 2009)



percent of their budgets to comply with the PGP, this is money spent on frivolous lawsuits and not combating disease-carrying insects.

The financial exposure to litigation associated with PGPs must also be recognized. Legal costs to fight frivolous citizen lawsuits for things like paperwork violations authorized by the CWA can bankrupt application businesses. As a reference, the Gem County Mosquito Abatement District in Idaho had to spend \$450,000 to resolve a lawsuit related to NPDES permitting, its entire budget for the year.

The cost and complexity of paperwork, in addition to the threat of lawsuits associated with PGPs, have caused numerous aerial applicators nationwide to shut down their mosquito and invasive species control efforts. This, clearly, is not in the public's best interest.

Congress Can Fix This

Legislation has been introduced in the past, such as Former U.S. Rep. Bob Gibbs' (R-OH) "Reducing Regulatory Burdens Act" to end NPDES PGP requirements for applications of pesticides already determined by EPA to present no unreasonable risk to humans or the environment. This legislation has passed the House of Representatives on numerous occasions, including in the legislative body's past two versions of the Farm Bill (2014 and 2018). It has also been successfully marked up through the Senate Committee On Agriculture, Nutrition & Forestry.

To protect the public's health, and to protect farmers from unnecessary and burdensome regulations stymying them from producing food, fiber, and biofuel, NAAA urges Congress to exempt applications of EPA-approved pesticides from the NPDES pesticide general permit requirements. This regulatory relief should be included in the 2024 Farm Bill.

This Section Left Intentionally Blank



About NAAA

The National Agricultural Aviation Association (NAAA) represents the interests of the 1,560 aerial application industry owner/operators and 2,028 non-operator agricultural pilots throughout the United States licensed as commercial applicators that use aircraft to enhance food, fiber and bio-energy production, protect forestry, and control health-threatening pests. Furthermore, through its affiliation with the National Agricultural Aviation Research & Education Fund (NAAREF), NAAA contributes to research and education programs aimed at enhancing the efficacy and safety of aerial application.

Contact Andrew D. Moore, NAAA’s Chief Executive Officer, at admoore@agaviation.org or (202) 546-5722 with any questions regarding this issue, or any other related to the aerial application industry. Find more information at agaviation.org

Importance of the Aerial Application Industry

Aerial applicators **annually treat:**

- 127 million acres of cropland (28% of the treated commercial cropland nationwide)
- 5.1 million acres of forest land
- 7.9 million acres of pasture and rangeland
- 4.8 million acres for public health and mosquito control

Aerial application is often the **only tool** to:

- Expediently eradicate a pest before it destroys a crop.
- Treat crops on rolling hills or in fields with soil too wet for ground applications.

The aerial application industry represents **\$37 billion in value** to farmers, input suppliers, processors and agricultural transportation and storage industries.

Without the aerial application of pesticides, the US would see annual losses of:

- 1.69 billion bushels of corn
- 199 million bushels of wheat
- 548 million pounds of cotton
- 295 million bushels of soybeans
- 3.33 billion pounds of rice

The total area of cropland needed to replace the yield lost if aerial application was not available for corn, wheat, soybean, cotton, and rice production is **27.4 million acres**, an area roughly the size of Tennessee.

Aerial applicators seed 3.8 million acres of cover crops annually², **sequestering over 2 million tons of CO₂**. According to the EPA this would be the equivalent of removing approximately 412,000 cars with carbon-combustion engines from the roads each year.

23

² National Agricultural Aviation Association. May 2019. “2019 NAAA Aerial Application Industry Survey: Operators.” agaviation.org/2019-naaa-operator-survey

³ Dharmasena, S. 2020. “How Much is the Aerial Application Industry Worth in the United States?” Research presented at the 2020 Ag Aviation Expo, Savannah, GA. agaviation.org/aat-expo-presentations